

3. The Court APPROVES the Special Master's determination that the claims of the Claimants set forth in Exhibit B are ineligible for compensation from the Individual Restitution Fund.

4. The Court APPROVES conditioning payment from the IRF to individuals represented by counsel on execution of a rider by counsel acknowledging and agreeing to abide by the restriction on attorney's fees set forth in the IRF Methodology Order.

5. The Court DIRECTS that Distributions shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Revised IRF Methodology.

6. This Court retains jurisdiction over all matters covered by, or related to, this Order.

So ordered.

Dated: September 19, 2022

s/George Caram Steeh
GEORGE CARAM STEEH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT A

	Claim No.	Points Awarded	Point Value	Monetary Award
1	270	1,250	\$191.00	\$238,750.00
2	10001110	10	\$178.00	\$1,780.00
3	10001293	1,000	\$182.00	\$182,000.00
4	10001305	750	\$191.00	\$143,250.00
	Total Points	3,010	Total Award	\$565,780.00

EXHIBIT B

	Claim No.	Ineligibility Reason
1	10001278	Insufficient Proof of Rupture
2	10001013	Insufficient Proof of Aggressive Deployment
3	10001160	Insufficient Proof of Aggressive Deployment
4	10001310	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator
5	10001422	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator